UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,687	09/08/2003	Salvatore Rea	2002L007A	1241
Infineum USA	7590 06/29/200 L.P.	EXAMINER		
Law Departmen		NERANGIS, VICKEY MARIE		
P. O. Box 710	1900 East Linden Avenue P. O. Box 710			PAPER NUMBER
Linden, NJ 070	36-0710	1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/657,687		REA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VICKEY NERANGIS	1796	

Advisory Action	10/00/,00/	112/12/17/12:			
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	VICKEY NERANGIS	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress		
The reply filed <u>6/22/09</u> is acknowledged.					
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered bed 		ision by the Board	l of Patent		
 a. The amendment is not limited to cancelin any other pending claims) or rewriting de dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting to the cancel of the cancel	pendent claims into independent	form (no limitation			
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not timely filed before the filing of an appeal brief. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).					
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed w 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate)					
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respinctudes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 4 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences for fur	caminer's answer ther consideration	written in n of rejection		
3. ☐ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below	or attached.			
4. \(\sigma\) Other: It is first noted that the nonstatutory obvious withdrawn. Furthermore, as set forth in the Examiner's a commensurate in scope with the scope of the claims for ethoxylated C4-C18 alkyl phenol having 2-10 moles of ealkenyl succinic acid or anhydride (rust inhibitor (iv)) and a 50:50 blend is exemplified, wherein it has not been espresent when mixed in ratios not 50:50 such as 95:5 or facie case if not commensurate in scope with the claime 777 (Fed. Cir. 1983). Given that entry of an affidavit after rejections of record and good and that sufficient reasons affidavit is not entered on the basis that it does not overcome.	Answer, the data in the affidavit firtwo reasons. First, the example of the examp	led on 4/2/09 is not sonly include bler bitor (i)) and C8-Coresently claimed. with rust inhibitor nce is insufficient 5.2d 731, 741, 21 soboth that it over presented must be	ot reasonably nds of 22 alkyl or Second, only (iv) is also to rebut a prima 8 USPQ 769, comes all had, the		
/Vickey Nerangis/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796					